IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO.20096 of 2000

Between:

1. Smt. Akkala Naga Varalakshmi,

W/o. Venkateswara Reddy,
R/o. Balakrishnapuram, 31st Ward,
Bapatla, Guntur District.

2. Smt. Gunivini Girija Rani,

W/o. Sambasiva Reddy,
R/o. Railpet, 5th Ward,
Bapatla, Guntur District.

3. Smt. Vaddiboina Venkayamma,

W/o. Butchaiah, R/o. 12th Ward,

Bapatla, Guntur District.

4. Smt. Kattupalli Kamala, W/o. Adam,
R/o. Chengalarayudu Thota,

31st Ward, Bapatla, Guntur District.

5. Smt. Gorla Sambrajyam,

W/o. Bikshalu, R/o. 25th Ward,

Bapatla, Guntur District.

6. Smt. Shaik Rahamatbi,
R/o. 29th Ward, Bapatla,
Guntur District.

.....PETITIONERS

AND

1. Government of Andhra Pradesh,

Rep. by Commissioner & Director,
Directorate of Municipal Administration,

Hyderabad.

2. The District Collector,
Guntur, Guntur District.

3. Bapatla Municipal Council,

Rep. by its Commissioner,
Bapatla, Guntur District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ or order or direction, more particularly in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring that the election of the President and office bearers of the CDS held on 23-9-2000 at 8 PM by the Commissioner, Bapatla Municipality, is illegal, improper, unjust, unconstitutional and hence bad in law and consequently set aside the said election and direct the respondents to conduct the election afresh after giving due notice to the petitioners in accordance with the guidelines and law applicable therefor.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.P.PHALGUNA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 & 2: GP FOR MUNCIPAL ADMN. & URBAN DEV.

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: MR.E.SAMBASIVA PRATAP(SC)

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the cause in the writ petition does not survive and it has become infructuous.

Recording the same, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J.

Date: 16.06.2005

Nsr

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Commissioner & Director,
   Directorate of Municipal Administration,

Government of Andhra Pradesh,

Hyderabad.
2. The District Collector,
   Guntur, Guntur District.
3. The Commissioner, Bapatla Municipal Council,

Bapatla, Guntur District.

4 Two CCs to GP for Municipal Administration and Urban Development,

High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)

5 2 CD copies